### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	4 (07)/1024
To: JOHN E. NEMAZI BROOKS KUSHMAN 1000 TOWN CENTER	PCT13 JUN PROPERTIES  WRITTEN ORINION OF THE
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN 48075	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
,	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
· ·	Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference  MEDE0101PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
	ing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US05/02625 31 January 2005	5 (31.01.2005) 30 January 2004 (30.01.2004)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national of	classification and IPC
	26 and US Cl.: 72/352, 353.2, 358; 29/893.3, 893.34, 893.36
Applicant	
OYEKANMI, BAMIDELE O	
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the follow	wing items:
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	
Box No. II Priority	
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion	n with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	•
	ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial xplanations supporting such statement
Box No. VI Certain documents cited	
Box No. VII Certain defects in the interna	ational application
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the i	international application
2. FURTHER ACTION	
International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IF	on is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the PEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) authority will not be so considered.
IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration	with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of on of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	Authorized officer  Eric B. Compton  Multiple  Local  Loca
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (571) 272-4050

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/US05/02625

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion
	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Addit	ional comments:
	•

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US05/02625

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10, 12-19		···	YES
	Claims	11, 20	·	<del></del>	_NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE			YES
	Claims				NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claima	1 20			YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims				_NO
			•		·
2. Citations and explanations:					
Please See Continuation Sheet					
•					
			·		
·					
				`	
•					
			•		

International application No. PCT/US05/02625

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

#### V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

1. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Claims 11 and 22 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 02/078876 to Roeske et al ("Roeske"). Roeske discloses a forged article, e.g., a ring gear, having a negative tooling pattern. See Figures 12-14.

Note: Even though product-by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-15, and 17-19 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over WO 02/078876 to Roeske et al ("Roeske") in view of U.S. Pat. 4,709,569 to Sabroff et al ("Sabroff").

Roeske discloses a method of manufacturing a ring gear (39) including a surface having teeth (6), the method comprising: defining a negative tooling pattern (66) based on the surface;

providing a tooling set having a bottom die (53), a top die (52) and an anvil (64), the bottom die being formed with an upper die surface (66) that conforms to the negative tooling pattern, the anvil extending through the bottom die and defining an axis, the bottom die and the top die cooperating to define a die cavity (see Fig. 24);

placing an annular blank (35) on an anvil and into the die cavity between a top die and the bottom die (see Fig. 21); and pressing the annular blank between the top and bottom dies in a pressing direction that is generally parallel to the axis to form the ring gear in single stroke, the annular blank initially flowing in the pressing direction to substantially completely form the surface of the ring gear and thereafter flowing in a direction generally perpendicular to the pressing direction to thereby fill the die cavity. Roeske discloses the invention above, and hot forging. See Page 12, lines 1-5. However, the reference does not disclose preheating the annular blank formed of ferrous material to forging temperature selected Tw release to the melting temperature Tm of the material so that the homologous absolute temperature ratio Tw/Tm is between 0.62 and 0.80.

Sabroff discloses a method of forming a gear by hot forging. Like, Roeske a hollow ring shaped billet (20) is forged to produce the gear. Sabroff discloses "In a typical example, a billet of AISI 8620A steel, a common low to medium carbon level alloy steel, is heated to a preselected temperature of about 1800 °F. (1255 °K.) to 1900 °F. (1310 °K.). As AISI 8620A steel has a melting temperature of about 2800 °F. (1810 °K.), the resulting HTR is in the range of 0.693 (1255/1810) to 0.723 (1310/1810)." Col. 3, lines 57-63. The homologous temperature ratio (HTR) is defined as the temperature (°K) of the material divided by the melting temperature (°K) of the material. See Col. 3, lines 35. Sabroff further discloses that "The process has been found to provide good machinability of the precision forgings as the microstructure is a polygonal ferrite and pearlite equiaxed grain with no, or only a minimum of, undesirable Widmanstatten structure. The grain size is generally fine (i.e. less than G.S. No. 10 on the ASTM Scale)." Col. 4, lines 23-29.

Regarding claims 1 and 12, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have formed the gear of Roeske by preheating the annular blank formed of ferrous material to forging temperature selected Tw release to the melting temperature Tm of the material so that the homologous absolute temperature ratio Tw/Tm is between 0.62 and 0.80, in light of the teachings of Sabroff, in order to "provide good machinability of the precision forgings." Id.

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Sabroff teaches the homologous temperature ratio is preferable 0.693 to 0.723. See Col. 3, lines 57-63.

International application No. PCT/US05/02625

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Sabroff teaches preheating the blank to a temperature of about 1800 to 1900 degrees Fahrenheit. See Col. 3, lines 57-63.

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Sabroff teaches "The grain size is generally fine (i.e. less than G.S. No. 10 on the ASTM Scale)." Col. 4, lines 28-29. Note: Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established.

Regarding claims 6 and 17, Regarding claims 6 and 17, Roeske discloses forging the article to near-net shape. See Page 11, lines 5-9. Likewise, Sabroff discloses the forging may be near-net. See e.g., Col. 2, lines 33-34.

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Roeske discloses the forming the annular blank such that it conforms to a predetermined volumetric size to thereby control a weight of the forged article. See Page 10, lines 13-15.

Regarding claim 8, Roeske discloses sectioning a tube shape billet to create the annular blank. See Page 10, lines 18-19. Likewise, Sabroff disclose this step as well. See Col. 3, lines 16-18.

Regarding claim 9, Roeske discloses removing an amount of excess from a second surface of the forged article opposite the surface. See Page 12, lines 18-22 (discussing machining surface 41 opposite the gear-surface).

Regarding claim 10, Roeske discloses the annular blank (35) is ring shaped. See e.g., Figure 21. Likewise, Sabroff disclose the annular blank is ring shaped. See Figure 2.

Regarding claim 19, Roeske discloses forming during the forging pressing operation a series of fluid holes (formed by projections 125). See Figure 35.

4. Claims 5 and 16 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over WO 02/078876 to Roeske et al ("Roeske") in view of U.S. Pat. 4,856,167 to Sabroff et al ("Sabroff") and in further view of U.S. Pat. 5,787,753 to Dougherty. Roeske and Sabroff disclose the inventions above. However, the references do not disclose coating the annular blank with a lubricant. Dougherty discloses a method of forging a gear with near net shape. The reference teaches "The workpiece can be coated or soaked with a lubricant, such as graphite, which assists in enhancing the flow of metal along the surfaces of tooth die 26, stencil die 30 and stem cavity 84 (e.g., interior surface 85 and recess 83A), which in turn, assists in reducing the possibility that the forged gear 90 will seize to surfaces of the tooth die 26, stencil die 30, or stem cavity 84 after the forging stroke." Col. 6, lines 47-53.

Regarding claims 5 and 16, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have formed the gear of Roeske and Sabroff by coating the annular blank with a lubricant, in light of the teachings of Dougherty, in order to "assist in enhancing the flow of metal" during forging. Col. 6, lines 48-49.

International application No.

PCT/US05/02625

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format.
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
$\cdot$
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:
•
$\cdot$

International application No. PCT/US05/02625

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10, 12-19		YES	
	Claims			NO	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claima			VTC	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims			YES NO	
	₩ <b>L</b>	<u>*</u>			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims		<del></del>	YES	
	Claims	NONE	•	NO	
2. Citations and explanations:				<del></del>	
Please See Continuation Sheet					
•					
•					
				•	
				•	
			•		
<b>}</b>					
		•			
		•			
•					
-					
			•		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US05/02625

_		_	_				
5	วิน	D	la	em	en	tal	Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

1. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Claims 11 and 22 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 02/078876 to Roeske et al ("Roeske"). Roeske discloses a forged article, e.g., a ring gear, having a negative tooling pattern. See Figures 12-14.

Note: Even though product-by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-15, and 17-19 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over WO 02/078876 to Roeske et al ("Roeske") in view of U.S. Pat. 4,709,569 to Sabroff et al ("Sabroff").

Roeske discloses a method of manufacturing a ring gear (39) including a surface having teeth (6), the method comprising: defining a negative tooling pattern (66) based on the surface;

providing a tooling set having a bottom die (53), a top die (52) and an anvil (64), the bottom die being formed with an upper die surface (66) that conforms to the negative tooling pattern, the anvil extending through the bottom die and defining an axis, the bottom die and the top die cooperating to define a die cavity (see Fig. 24);

placing an annular blank (35) on an anvil and into the die cavity between a top die and the bottom die (see Fig. 21); and pressing the annular blank between the top and bottom dies in a pressing direction that is generally parallel to the axis to form the ring gear in single stroke, the annular blank initially flowing in the pressing direction to substantially completely form the surface of the ring gear and thereafter flowing in a direction generally perpendicular to the pressing direction to thereby fill the die cavity. Roeske discloses the invention above, and hot forging. See Page 12, lines 1-5. However, the reference does not disclose preheating the annular blank formed of ferrous material to forging temperature selected Tw release to the melting temperature Tm of the material so that the homologous absolute temperature ratio Tw/Tm is between 0.62 and 0.80.

Sabroff discloses a method of forming a gear by hot forging. Like, Roeske a hollow ring shaped billet (20) is forged to produce the gear. Sabroff discloses "In a typical example, a billet of AISI 8620A steel, a common low to medium carbon level alloy steel, is heated to a preselected temperature of about 1800 °F. (1255 °K.) to 1900 °F. (1310 °K.). As AISI 8620A steel has a melting temperature of about 2800 °F. (1810 °K.), the resulting HTR is in the range of 0.693 (1255/1810) to 0.723 (1310/1810)." Col. 3, lines 57-63. The homologous temperature ratio (HTR) is defined as the temperature (°K) of the material divided by the melting temperature (°K) of the material. See Col. 3, lines 35. Sabroff further discloses that "The process has been found to provide good machinability of the precision forgings as the microstructure is a polygonal ferrite and pearlite equiaxed grain with no, or only a minimum of, undesirable Widmanstatten structure. The grain size is generally fine (i.e. less than G.S. No. 10 on the ASTM Scale)." Col. 4, lines 23-29.

Regarding claims 1 and 12, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have formed the gear of Roeske by preheating the annular blank formed of ferrous material to forging temperature selected Tw release to the melting temperature Tm of the material so that the homologous absolute temperature ratio Tw/Tm is between 0.62 and 0.80, in light of the teachings of Sabroff, in order to "provide good machinability of the precision forgings." Id.

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Sabroff teaches the homologous temperature ratio is preferable 0.693 to 0.723. See Col. 3, lines 57-63.

International application No. PCT/US05/02625

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Sabroff teaches preheating the blank to a temperature of about 1800 to 1900 degrees Fahrenheit. See Col. 3, lines 57-63.

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Sabroff teaches "The grain size is generally fine (i.e. less than G.S. No. 10 on the ASTM Scale)." Col. 4, lines 28-29. Note: Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established.

Regarding claims 6 and 17, Regarding claims 6 and 17, Roeske discloses forging the article to near-net shape. See Page 11, lines 5-9. Likewise, Sabroff discloses the forging may be near-net. See e.g., Col. 2, lines 33-34.

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Roeske discloses the forming the annular blank such that it conforms to a predetermined volumetric size to thereby control a weight of the forged article. See Page 10, lines 13-15.

Regarding claim 8, Roeske discloses sectioning a tube shape billet to create the annular blank. See Page 10, lines 18-19. Likewise, Sabroff disclose this step as well. See Col. 3, lines 16-18.

Regarding claim 9, Roeske discloses removing an amount of excess from a second surface of the forged article opposite the surface. See Page 12, lines 18-22 (discussing machining surface 41 opposite the gear surface).

Regarding claim 10, Roeske discloses the annular blank (35) is ring shaped. See e.g., Figure 21. Likewise, Sabroff disclose the annular blank is ring shaped. See Figure 2.

Regarding claim 19, Roeske discloses forming during the forging pressing operation a series of fluid holes (formed by projections 125). See Figure 35.

Claims 5 and 16 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over WO 02/078876 to Roeske et al ("Roeske") in view of U.S. Pat. 4,856,167 to Sabroff et al ("Sabroff") and in further view of U.S. Pat. 5,787,753 to Dougherty. Roeske and Sabroff disclose the inventions above. However, the references do not disclose coating the annular blank with a lubricant. Dougherty discloses a method of forging a gear with near net shape. The reference teaches "The workpiece can be coated or soaked with a lubricant, such as graphite, which assists in enhancing the flow of metal along the surfaces of tooth die 26, stencil die 30 and stem cavity 84 (e.g., interior surface 85 and recess 83A), which in turn, assists in reducing the possibility that the forged gear 90 will seize to surfaces of the tooth die 26, stencil die 30, or stem cavity 84 after the forging stroke." Col. 6, lines 47-53.

Regarding claims 5 and 16, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have formed the gear of Roeske and Sabroff by coating the annular blank with a lubricant, in light of the teachings of Dougherty, in order to "assist in enhancing the flow of metal" during forging. Col. 6, lines 48-49.